IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 2470 of 2005

Between:

Smt. K. Jayalakshmi W/o. M.V. Ramanaiah
R/o C-79, Patigadda, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Goverment of Andhra PRadesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Department of Transport, Road & Buildings., Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Station Road, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Balanagar, Narsapur X Roads,

Balanagar, Hyderabad.
4. The Estate Officer, O/o. Superintendent Engineer (R&B), Headquarter Circle, Near Meera Talkies, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
5. The Chief Engineer (R &B) Buildings, Erramanzil, Hyderabad-82.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate writ, order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of *Writ of Mandamus* directing the respondents to consider and alienate the quarter in which the petitioner is residing in her favour as was done in other cases with respect to other Government employees.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.BALAJI VARMA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR TRANSPORT and R & B

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

For directing the respondents to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 08.02.2005 and alienate the quarter in her favour, in which the petitioner is residing, the present writ petition is filed.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is residing in the Quarter No.C-79 Patigadda, as per G.O. Ms. No.54, dated 7.7.1993 for the last 23 years, and she is not in a possession of any house and therefore, she prays to consider her case.

3. On the other hand, the learned Government Pleader for Roads & Buildings opposed the same on the ground that the alienation is governed by the government orders issued from time to time.

4. The petitioner is seeking innocuous relief for considering her case on par with the others, who were allotted some residential quarters and vacant site, by her representation dated 08.02.2005. Admittedly, the said representation was addressed to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, but not to the respondents. According to the learned Government pleader, the case of the petitioner has to be determined in accordance with the Government orders issued from time to time by the first respondent.

5. Therefore, without going into the merits of the case, it would be appropriate to direct the petitioner to file a representation before the respondents. On filing of such representation, the same shall be considered by the respondents in accordance with the Government orders in force.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU, J)

Date: 15.02.2005

Ksn

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Principal Secretary,

Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Department of Transport, Road & Buildings., Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector, Station Road, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Balanagar, Narsapur X Roads,

Balanagar, Hyderabad.
4. The Estate Officer, O/o. Superintendent Engineer (R&B), Headquarter Circle, Near Meera Talkies, Lakdikapool, Hyderabad.
5 The Chief Engineer (R &B) Buildings, Erramanzil, Hyderabad-82.

6. Two C. Cs to G.P. for Transport, and R & B High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT).

7. 2 CD copies

8. 1 CC to MR.SUBHAN

9. 1 CC to MR.BALAJI VARMA

Form-NIC-OGS/WP{VSMI}